

TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF CONFIGURATION SPACES

MICHAEL FARBER AND MARK GRANT

ABSTRACT. The topological complexity $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is a homotopy invariant which reflects the complexity of the problem of constructing a motion planning algorithm in the space X , viewed as configuration space of a mechanical system. In this paper we complete the computation of the topological complexity of the configuration space of n distinct points in Euclidean m -space for all $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$; the answer was previously known in the cases $m = 2$ and m odd. We also give several useful general results concerning sharpness of upper bounds for the topological complexity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motion planning problem is a central theme of robotics [14]. Given a mechanical system S , a motion planning algorithm for S is a function associating with any pair of states (A, B) of S a continuous motion of the system starting at A and ending at B . If X denotes the configuration space of the system, one considers the path fibration

$$(1) \quad \pi : PX \rightarrow X \times X, \quad \pi(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)),$$

where $PX = X^I$ is the space of all continuous paths $\gamma : I = [0, 1] \rightarrow X$. In these terms, a motion planning algorithm for S is a section (not necessarily continuous) of π .

The topological complexity of a topological space X , denoted $\mathrm{TC}(X)$, is defined to be the genus, in the sense of Schwarz [15], of fibration (1). More explicitly, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is the minimal integer k such that $X \times X$ admits a cover by k open subsets, on each of which there exists a continuous local section of fibration (1). One of the basic properties of $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is its homotopy invariance [6]. If X is a Euclidean Neighbourhood Retract then the number $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ can be equivalently characterized (see

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M99, 55R80; Secondary 68T40.

Key words and phrases. Topological complexity, configuration spaces.

The research was supported by grants from the EPSRC and from the Royal Society.

[11], Proposition 4.2) as the minimal integer k such that there exists a section $s : X \times X \rightarrow PX$ of (1) and a decomposition

$$X \times X = G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_k, \quad G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j$$

where each G_i is locally compact and such that the restriction $s|_{G_i} : G_i \rightarrow PX$ is continuous for $i = 1, \dots, k$. A section s as above can be viewed as a motion planning algorithm: given a pair of states $(A, B) \in X \times X$ the path $s(A, B)(t)$ represents a continuous motion of the system starting from A and ending at B . The number $\text{TC}(X)$ is a measure of the complexity of motion planning algorithms for a system whose configuration space is X .

The concept $\text{TC}(X)$ was introduced and studied in [6], [7]. We refer the reader to surveys [9], [11] for detailed treatment of the invariant $\text{TC}(X)$. Computation of $\text{TC}(X)$ in various practically interesting examples has received much recent interest, see for instance papers [1], [2], [10], [12], [13].

In this paper we study the topological complexity $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$ of the space of configurations of n distinct points in Euclidean m -space. Here $m, n \geq 2$, and

$$F(\mathbf{R}^m, n) = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in (\mathbf{R}^m)^{\times n}; \mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{x}_j \text{ for } i \neq j\},$$

topologised as a subspace of the Cartesian power $(\mathbf{R}^m)^{\times n}$. This space appears in robotics when one controls multiple objects simultaneously trying to avoid collisions between them. Our main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *One has*

$$(2) \quad \text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) = \begin{cases} 2n - 1 & \text{for all } m \text{ odd,} \\ 2n - 2 & \text{for all } m \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

The cases $m = 2$ and $m \geq 3$ odd of Theorem 1 were proven by Farber and Yuzvinsky in [8], where it was conjectured that $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) = 2n - 2$ for all even m . Here we settle this conjecture in the affirmative. Note that the methods employed in [8] are not applicable in the case when $m > 2$ is even. We therefore suggest an alternative approach based on sharp upper bounds for the topological complexity.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the next section we state Theorems 2 and 3 about sharp upper bounds; their proofs appear in section §3. The concluding section §4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.

2. SHARP UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

Let X be a CW-complex of finite dimension $\dim(X) = n \geq 1$. We denote by $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ the diagonal $\Delta_X = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}); \mathbf{x} \in X\}$. Let A be

a local system of coefficients on $X \times X$. A cohomology class

$$u \in H^*(X \times X; A)$$

is called a *zero-divisor* if its restriction to the diagonal is trivial, i.e. $u|_{\Delta_X} = 0 \in H^*(X; A|_X)$. The importance of zero-divisors stems from the following fact (see [11], Corollary 4.40):

If the cup-product of k zero-divisors $u_i \in H^*(X \times X; A_i)$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$, is nonzero then $\text{TC}(X) > k$.

Theorem 2 below supplements the general dimensional upper bound of [6] by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for its sharpness.

Theorem 2. *For any n -dimensional cell complex X one has*

- (a) $\text{TC}(X) \leq 2n + 1$;
- (b) $\text{TC}(X) = 2n + 1$ *if and only if there exists a local coefficient system A on $X \times X$ and a zero-divisor $\xi \in H^1(X \times X; A)$ such that the $2n$ -fold cup product*

$$\xi^{2n} = \xi \cup \dots \cup \xi \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; A^{2n})$$

is nonzero. Here A^{2n} denotes the tensor product of $2n$ copies $A \otimes \dots \otimes A$ of A (over $\mathbf{Z}$).

Next we state a similar sharp upper bound result for $(s-1)$ -connected spaces X where $s > 1$. We use the following notation. If B is an abelian group and $v \in H^r(X; B)$ is a cohomology class then the class

$$(3) \quad \bar{v} = v \times 1 - 1 \times v \in H^r(X \times X; B)$$

is a zero-divisor, where $1 \in H^0(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is the unit and $\times$ denotes the cohomological cross-product.

We say that a finitely generated abelian group is *square-free* if it has no subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{p^2}$, where p is a prime.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a $(s-1)$ -connected n -dimensional finite cell complex where $s \geq 2$. Assume additionally that $2n = rs$ where r is an integer.¹ Then*

- (a) $\text{TC}(X) \leq r + 1$;
- (b) $\text{TC}(X) = r + 1$ *if and only if there exists a finitely generated abelian group B and a cohomology class $v \in H^s(X; B)$ such that the n -fold cup-product of the corresponding zero-divisors (3) is nonzero*

$$\bar{v}^r = \bar{v} \cup \dots \cup \bar{v} \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; B^r).$$

Here B^r denotes the r -fold tensor power $B \otimes \dots \otimes B$;

¹This last assumption is automatically satisfied (with $r = n$) for $s = 2$, i.e. when X is simply connected.

- (c) If $H_*(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is square-free, then $\mathrm{TC}(X) = r + 1$ if and only if there exists a field $\mathbf{k}$ and cohomology classes $v_1, \dots, v_r \in H^s(X; \mathbf{k})$ such that

$$\bar{v}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{v}_r \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{k});$$

- (d) If $H_s(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is free abelian, then $\mathrm{TC}(X) = r + 1$ if and only if there exist classes $v_1, \dots, v_r \in H^s(X; \mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$\bar{v}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{v}_r \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z}).$$

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

Proof of Theorem 2. The first statement follows from [6], Theorem 4. If there exists a local system coefficient system A and a zero-divisor $\xi \in H^1(X \times X; A)$ such that $\xi^{2n} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{TC}(X) \geq 2n+1$, by Corollary 4.40 of [11]. The remaining part of Theorem 2 was proven in [3], Theorem 7. More precisely, let $G = \pi_1(X, x_0)$ denote the fundamental group of X and let $I \subset \mathbf{Z}[G]$ denote the augmentation ideal. I can be viewed as a left $\mathbf{Z}[G \times G]$ -module via the action

$$(g, h) \cdot \sum n_i g_i = \sum g g_i h^{-1},$$

where $g, h \in G$ and $\sum n_i g_i \in I$; this defines a local system with stem I on $X \times X$, see [16], chapter 6. A crossed homomorphism $f : G \times G \rightarrow I$ given by the formula

$$f(g, h) = gh^{-1} - 1, \quad g, h \in G$$

determines a cohomology class $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(X \times X; I)$. This class is a zero-divisor and has the property that $\mathbf{v}^{2n} \neq 0$ assuming that $\mathrm{TC}(X) = 2n + 1$ according to Theorem 7 from [3]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Statement (a) follows directly from Theorem 5.2 of [7] which states that

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{TC}(X) < \frac{2n+1}{s} + 1.$$

for any $(s-1)$ -connected CW-complex X of dimension n .

(b) One part of statement (b) follows from Corollary 4.40 of [11]; indeed if $\bar{v}^r \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{TC}(X) \geq r + 1$ since each $\bar{v}$ is a zero-divisor.

The proof of the remaining part of statement (b) is derived from obstruction theory and results of A. S. Schwarz [15] centered around the notion of genus of a fibration. We assume that X is $(s-1)$ -connected, $s \geq 2$, and n -dimensional and $2n = rs$ where r is an integer. The case $n = 1$ is trivial, therefore we will assume that $n \geq 2$. We want to show that $\mathrm{TC}(X) = r + 1$ implies that $\bar{v}^r \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; B^r)$ for some class $v \in H^s(X; B)$.

Recall that $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is defined as the genus of the path fibration (1) and according to Theorem 3 from [15] one has $\mathrm{TC}(X) \leq r$ if and only if the r -fold fiberwise join

$$(5) \quad \pi_r : P_r X \rightarrow X \times X$$

of the original fibration $\pi : PX \rightarrow X \times X$ admits a continuous section. Hence our assumption $\mathrm{TC}(X) = r+1$ implies that π_r has no continuous sections. The fibre F_r of (5) is the r -fold join

$$(6) \quad F_r = \Omega X * \Omega X * \cdots * \Omega X$$

where ΩX denotes the space of loops in X starting and ending at the base point $x_0 \in X$. Note that ΩX is $(s-2)$ -connected and therefore the fibre F_r is $(2n-2)$ -connected since² $r(s-2) + 2(r-1) = 2n-2$.

The primary obstruction to the existence of a section of (5) is an element $\theta_r \in H^{2n}(X \times X; \pi_{2n-1}(F_r))$. It is in fact the only obstruction since the higher obstructions land in zero groups. Thus we obtain that $\theta_r \neq 0$. By the Hurewicz theorem

$$\pi_{2n-1}(F_r) = H_{2n-1}(F_r) = B \otimes B \otimes \cdots \otimes B = B^r$$

where B denotes the abelian group $H_{s-1}(\Omega X) = H_s(X)$. Here we have used the Künneth theorem for joins, see for instance [15], chapter 1, §5. By Theorem 1 from [15] the obstruction θ_r equals the r -fold cup-product

$$\theta_r = \theta \cup \cdots \cup \theta = \theta^r$$

where $\theta \in H^s(X \times X; B)$ is the primary obstruction to the existence of a section of $\pi : PX \rightarrow X \times X$. Writing $\theta = v \times 1 + 1 \times w$ and observing that $\theta|_{\Delta_X} = 0$ (since there is a continuous section of (1) over the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$) shows that $v + w = 0$ and therefore $\theta = v \times 1 - 1 \times v = \bar{v}$. Hence we have found a cohomology class $v \in H^s(X; B)$ with $\bar{v}^r \neq 0$.

(c) In one direction the statement of (c) follows from the upper bound (a) and [6], Thm. 7, i.e. the existence of classes $v_1, \dots, v_r \in H^s(X; \mathbf{k})$ with $\bar{v}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \bar{v}_r \neq 0$ combined with (a) gives $\mathrm{TC}(X) = r+1$. Suppose now that $H_*(X)$ is square free. Write $B = H_s(X)$ as a direct sum

$$B = \oplus_{i \in I} B_i$$

where each B_i is either $\mathbf{Z}$ or a cyclic group of prime order $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and I is an index set. The r -fold tensor power $B^r = B \otimes \cdots \otimes B$ is a direct sum

$$B^r = \bigoplus_{(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I^r} B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{i_r}$$

²One knows that the join a p -connected complex and a q -connected complex is $(p+q+2)$ -connected.

and each tensor product $B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{i_r}$ is either $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Z}_p$ or trivial. As we know from the proof of (b) there is a class $v \in H^s(X; B)$ such that $\bar{v}^r \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; B^r)$. For any index $i \in I$ denote by v_i the image of v under the coefficient projection $B \rightarrow B_i$. Since $\bar{v}^r \neq 0$ there exists a sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I^r$ such that the product

$$\bar{v}_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{v}_{i_r} \in H^{2n}(X \times X; B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{i_r}).$$

is nonzero. If the product $B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{i_r}$ is $\mathbf{Z}_p$ then each B_{i_j} is either $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and taking $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and reducing all these classes v_{i_k} mod p we obtain that (c) is satisfied. In the case when the product $B_{i_1} \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{i_r}$ is infinite cyclic each of the groups B_{i_k} is $\mathbf{Z}$ and the class

$$(7) \quad \bar{v}_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{v}_{i_r} \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z})$$

is integral and nonzero.

Since the group $H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z})$ is square-free the cup-product (7) is indivisible by some prime p . Indeed, the group $H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z})$ is direct sum of cyclic groups of prime order and infinite cyclic groups and the product (7) has a nontrivial component in at least one of these groups. A nonzero element of $\mathbf{Z}$ is divisible by finitely many primes and a nonzero element of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is divisible by all primes except p .

Therefore, as follows from the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{p} H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n}(X \times X; \mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

the mod p reduction of the product (7) is nonzero. Now, taking $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and reducing the classes v_{i_k} mod p gives a sequence of classes $w_{j_k} \in H^s(X; \mathbf{k})$ such that $\prod \bar{w}_{j_k} \neq 0$ where $k = 1, \dots, r$.

(d) The proof of statement (d) of Theorem 3 is similar to that of (c), with the simplification that all the groups B_i are in this case infinite cyclic. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The cases $m = 2$ and $m \geq 3$ odd of Theorem 1 were dealt with by Farber and Yuzvinsky in [8]. Their arguments also show that if $m \geq 4$ is even, then $\mathrm{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$ equals either $2n - 1$ or $2n - 2$. Hence to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show that $\mathrm{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) \neq 2n - 1$ when $m \geq 4$ is even.

Fix $n \geq 2$. For any $m \geq 2$ the space $F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)$ is $(m - 2)$ -connected, since it is the complement of an arrangement of codimension m subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^{mn}$. Its integral cohomology ring is shown in [5] to be graded-commutative algebra over $\mathbf{Z}$ on generators

$$e_{ij} \in H^{m-1}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

subject to the relations

$$e_{ij}^2 = 0, \quad e_{ij}e_{ik} = (e_{ij} - e_{ik})e_{jk}$$

for any triple $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$. In particular, $H^*(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$ is nonzero only in dimensions $i(m-1)$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots, (n-1)$. Applying the result of Eilenberg and Ganea [4] we obtain that for $m \geq 3$ the space $F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex of dimension $\leq (m-1)(n-1)$. Now we may apply statement (d) of Theorem 3, which gives, firstly, that $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) \leq 2n-1$ and, secondly, $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) = 2n-1$ if and only if there exist cohomology classes

$$v_1, \dots, v_{2(n-1)} \in H^{m-1}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$$

such that the product of the corresponding zero-divisors

$$\bar{v}_1 \cup \bar{v}_2 \cup \dots \cup \bar{v}_{2(n-1)}$$

is nonzero; recall that the notation $\bar{v}$ is introduced in (3). We show below that such classes $v_1, \dots, v_{2(n-1)}$ do not exist if $m \geq 4$ is even.

We recall the result of [8] stating that $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{C}, n)) = 2n-2$. It is shown in the proof of Theorem 6 in [8], that $F(\mathbf{C}, n)$ is homotopy equivalent to the product $X \times S^1$ where X is a finite polyhedron of dimension $\leq n-2$. This argument uses the algebraic structure of $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$ and does not generalize to $F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)$ with $m > 2$. Using the product inequality (Theorem 11 in [6]) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TC}(F(\mathbf{C}, n)) &\leq \text{TC}(X) + \text{TC}(S^1) - 1 \\ &\leq (2(n-2) + 1) + 2 - 1 = 2n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exist no $2(n-1)$ cohomology classes $v_1, \dots, v_{2(n-1)} \in H^1(F(\mathbf{C}, n))$ such that the product of the zero-divisors $\bar{v}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{v}_{2(n-1)}$ is nonzero, as this would contradict Theorem 7 from [6].

Now we observe that for any even $m \geq 2$ there is an algebra isomorphism

$$(8) \quad \phi : H^*(F(\mathbf{C}; n)) \rightarrow H^{*(m-1)}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$$

mapping classes of degree i to classes of degree $(m-1)i$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, see [5]. Thus we conclude that there exist no cohomology classes $w_1, \dots, w_{2(n-1)} \in H^{m-1}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$ such that the product of the corresponding zero-divisors $\bar{w}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{w}_{2(n-1)}$ is nonzero. Theorem 3 (statement (d)) gives now that $\text{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) \leq 2(n-1)$.

On the other hand, it is proven in [8] that one may find $2n-3$ cohomology classes $v_1, \dots, v_{2n-3} \in H^1(F(\mathbf{C}, n))$ such that the cup-product $\bar{v}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{v}_{2n-3}$ is nonzero. Hence, repeating the above argument we see that for m even there exists classes $w_1, \dots, w_{2n-3} \in H^{m-1}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n))$

(where $w_i = \phi(v_i)$) with nonzero product $\bar{w}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \bar{w}_{2n-3}$; this gives the opposite inequality $\mathrm{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) \geq 2n - 2$.

Hence, $\mathrm{TC}(F(\mathbf{R}^m, n)) = 2n - 2$ as stated. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. C. Cohen and G. Pruidze, *Motion planning in tori*, to appear in Bull. London Math. Soc. [[arXiv:math/0703069](#)]
- [2] D. C. Cohen and G. Pruidze, *Topological complexity of basis-conjugating automorphism groups*, preprint. [[arXiv:0804.1825](#)]
- [3] A. Costa and M. Farber, *Motion planning in spaces with "small" fundamental groups*, Preprint 2008.
- [4] S. Eilenberg, T. Ganea, *On the Lusternik - Schnirelmann category of abstract groups*, **(65)**(1957), 517 - 518.
- [5] E. R. Fadell, S. Y. Husseini, *Geometry and Topology of Configuration Spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer - Verlag, 2001.
- [6] M. Farber, *Topological complexity of motion planning*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 29 (2003), 211–221.
- [7] M. Farber, *Instabilities of robot motion*, Topology Appl. 140 (2004), 245–266.
- [8] M. Farber, S. Yuzvinsky, *Topological robotics: subspace arrangements and collision free motion planning*, In "Geometry, topology, and mathematical physics", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2004), 145–156.
- [9] M. Farber, *Topology of robot motion planning*, in: Morse Theoretic Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and in Symplectic Topology (P. Biran et al (eds.)) (2006), 185–230.
- [10] M. Farber and M. Grant, *Robot motion planning, weights of cohomology classes, and cohomology operations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 3339–3349.
- [11] M. Farber, *Invitation to topological robotics*, EMS, to appear.
- [12] J. González, *Topological robotics in lens spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **139** (2005), no. 3, 469–485.
- [13] M. Grant, *Topological complexity of motion planning and Massey products*, to appear in Proceedings of the M. M. Postnikov Memorial Conference 2007, Banach Centre Publications [[arXiv:0709.2287](#)].
- [14] J.-C. Latombe, *Robot Motion Planning*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [15] A. S. Schwarz, *The genus of a fiber space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.(2) 55 (1966), 49–140.
- [16] G.W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer - Verlag, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, SOUTH ROAD, DURHAM, DH1 3LE, UK

E-mail address: michael.farber@durham.ac.uk

E-mail address: mark.grant@durham.ac.uk